

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,817 03/03/2004		3/03/2004	Ting-Hsing Chen	MR1111-1234	1509
4586	7590	05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
ROSENBER	RG, KLE	IN & LEE	BOEHLER, ANNE MARIE M		
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043					DADED MUMBER
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611		

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,817	CHEN, TING-HSING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anne Marie M. Boehler	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 4,6 and 7 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/790,817

Art Unit: 3611

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehmet (USPN 6,857,648) in view of James (USPN 6,648,354).

Mehmet shows a scooter with an accelerating device including a platform 18, a pedal 36 spring biased upwardly, a sector gear 48, a shaft a one-way ratchet gear set (between 49 and 36), an accelerating gear set 44, 58, and a rear wheel driven by pedal power. The ratchet and accelerating gear set are axially offset from the rear wheel. Figure 7 of Mehmet shows the ratchet gear set including an inner input disc, output disc with ratchet-shaped recesses.

Mehmet lacks the planetary gearing arrangement claimed.

James shows a lever powered vehicle with a drive arrangement shown in Figure 18, which is axially offset from the vehicle wheel 61. It includes at least one one-way clutch 44 operated by a lever, a planetary gearing arrangement 100 including a fixed gear 104, diametrically opposed planet gears 103, a planet carrier board 102, and a delivery gear 106. The planet gears are in mesh with the fixed ring gear and the delivery gear. The delivery gear has a sleeve 13 linked to the rear wheel 61.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Mehmet scooter with a planetary gearing arrangement, as taught by James, in order to provide a compact and efficient drive arrangement.

Art Unit: 3611

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehmet in view of James as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hammelmann.

The combination fails to show an arcuate pedal.

Hammelmann shows a pedal arm H that is arcuate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the combination scooter with an arcuate pedal, as shown by Hammelmann, in order to improve the appearance and adapt the shape to the requirements of the scooter design.

- 4. Claims 4, 6, and 7 are allowed.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3611

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M. Boehler whose telephone number is 571-272-6641. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anne Marie M Boehler Primary Examiner

ansol 5/12/06

Art Unit 3611

amb